



Case Report

# Soft tissue ridge augmentation using “roll technique” – A case report

Deepak Grover<sup>1</sup>, Gurpreet Kaur<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Reader, Dept. of Periodontics and Oral Implantology, National Dental College and Hospital, Derabassi, Punjab, India

\*Corresponding author email: [gurpreet.nenu@gmail.com](mailto:gurpreet.nenu@gmail.com)

**How to cite this article:** Deepak Grover, Gurpreet Kaur. Soft tissue ridge augmentation using “roll technique” – A case report. IAIM, 2014; 1(4): 80-85.

**Available online at** [www.iaimjournal.com](http://www.iaimjournal.com)

**Received on:** 05-12-2014

**Accepted on:** 11-12-2014

## Abstract

The defects of the alveolar ridge can result from various causes, most common being the collapse of alveolar bone during extraction. Localized defects of alveolar crest impair prosthetic rehabilitation due to poor emergence profile of the pontic which in turn adversely affects the aesthetics and function. Several alternatives have been proposed to restore the damaged ridge by hard and soft tissue augmentation. Various soft tissue ridge augmentation techniques have been used to augment alveolar ridge with varying success. The present clinical report describes the “roll technique” as a means of soft tissue ridge augmentation to treat alveolar ridge defects.

## Key words

Ridge augmentation, Roll technique, Alveolar ridge defects.

## Introduction

Localized alveolar defects are frequently found in partially edentulous patients that impair the prosthetic restoration of damaged ridge area causing aesthetic, phonetic and oral hygiene complications [1]. These defects are associated with the deficit in the volume of bone and soft tissues within the alveolar process resulting from tooth extractions, advanced periodontal disease, abscess formations, periapical

pathologies, developmental disorders, external trauma and tumors [2].

Seibert (1983) classified these defects into three different categories [3]:

**Class 1 defect:** buccolingual loss of tissue with normal height in apicocoronal dimension.

**Class 2 defect:** apicocoronal loss of tissue with normal ridge width in buccolingual dimension.

**Class 3 defect:** combination of buccolingual and apicocoronal loss of tissue resulting in loss of normal height and width.



Allen, et al. (1985) classified the ridges as to the depth of the deformity in relation to the adjacent alveolar level, as [4]:

1. **Mild:** depth less than 3 mm
2. **Moderate:** ranging from 3-6 mm
3. **Severe:** more than 6 mm

Various techniques have been employed to correct these tissue deformities like guided bone regeneration, bone grafts, bone substitutes, and soft tissue ridge augmentation. The later includes the epithelial connective tissue graft (Meltzer, 1979) [5], onlay grafts (Seibert, 1983) [3], subepithelial connective tissue graft (Langer and Calanga, 1980) [6], and roll pedicle graft technique (Abrams, 1980 [7]; Scharf and Tarnow, 1992 [8]; Barone, et al., 1999 [9]; Gasparini, 2004 [10].).

The roll technique, described by Abrams [7] in 1980, comprises de-epithelialization of a palatal flap. The length of the pedicle should be compatible with the height of the defect on the buccal aspect and similar to the crest in mesiodistal direction. This pedicle is rolled under the buccal mucosa to increase the buccolingual dimension of the edentulous ridge for later fabrication of a fixed prosthesis. The flap is released by two vertical incisions extended beyond the mucogingival junction. This technique may be employed for correction of moderate defects, Seibert's Class 1 and early class 2 ridge defects. It provides the advantages of:

1. Increased vascularity to the tissue
2. Good color match with the surrounding tissues
3. Involvement of a single surgical site.

Over the years, new techniques are constantly being developed to treat alveolar ridge defects. The choice of technique should be based on predictability of the outcome and may vary from case to case. Taking into account the advantages

of roll flap procedure, this article describes the roll technique to treat the alveolar ridge defects.

### Case report

---

A 32 years old, female patient referred to the Department of Periodontology and Oral Implantology, National Dental College and Hospital, Derabassi presented with Seibert class I deformity in the edentulous ridge following extraction of maxillary anteriors several years back. Prior to fabrication of definitive prosthesis, it was decided to augment the defect by 'roll technique'.

Prior to surgery, patient was instructed to rinse with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate solution for 30 seconds. The area was anesthetized by nerve block and infiltration anesthesia using local anesthetic solution, 2% lignocaine with 1:80,000 epinephrine. The technique involves dissecting a de-epithelialized palatal flap and creating a pedicle toward the vestibular aspect by reflection of full thickness flap towards the palatal mucosa. **(Photo - 1, Photo - 2, Photo - 3)** Two full thickness vertical incisions were made from the crest of the ridge towards the palate. The length of the incision depends on the length of tissue desired. The incisions were placed 2 mm away from the sulci of adjacent teeth to preserve the papilla. Once the flap was reflected to the crest of the ridge, a pouch was created between the buccal mucosa and the alveolar bone. **(Photo - 4, Photo - 5)** The tissue was then rolled in a pouch created between the facial mucosa and the alveolar ridge and secured with interrupted braided silk sutures. **(Photo - 6, Photo - 7, Photo - 8)**

Post operatively patient was prescribed antibiotics (amoxicillin 500 mg t.d.s. for 5 days), and analgesic (ibuprofen b.d. for three days). Patient was advised to rinse with 0.2% chlorhexidine gluconate mouth wash twice daily

for two weeks. Healing was uneventful and the sutures were removed after ten days. **(Photo - 9)** Patient was followed at 14 days and after one month post operatively for the prosthetic rehabilitation. **(Photo - 10, Photo - 11)**

**Photo - 4:** Two vertical incisions and a horizontal incision.



**Photo - 1:** Preoperative photograph.



**Photo - 5:** Elevation of the flap.



**Photo - 2:** Preoperative photograph.



**Photo - 6:** Flap rolled.



**Photo - 3:** De-epithelialization.



### Discussion

The ridge defects create a functional and aesthetic challenge to maintain normal anatomy

of the oral tissues. The standard restorative treatment procedures cannot be carried out because tooth to gingival relationship is not maintained resulting in unattractive prosthesis [11].

**Photo – 7:** Suture placement (incisal view).



**Photo – 8:** Suture placement (palatal area to heal with secondary intention).



**Photo – 9:** 10 days post operatively.



**Photo – 10:** 14 days post operatively.



**Photo – 11:** One month post operatively.



Localised alveolar ridge defects may be corrected by two different approaches: hard tissue ridge augmentation and soft tissue augmentation procedures. However, when planning fixed partial denture as definitive prosthesis, soft tissue augmentation procedures alone provides a satisfactory aesthetic outcome in majority of cases [12, 13].

Various surgical approaches have been proposed to augment ridge defect using soft tissue and have been widely accepted [13, 14, 15]. The roll flap procedure, originally proposed by Abrams [7] in 1980, is widely accepted technique. The free gingival graft and sub epithelial connective tissue graft techniques, although established procedure, have certain



disadvantages. In free gingival graft and sub epithelial connective tissue graft [5, 6], original vascularization is not maintained, which predisposes it to necrosis and shrinkage of graft. Moreover, there are chances of haemorrhage at the donor site and postoperative pain and discomfort related to second surgical site. In addition, free gingival graft also poses problems of colour match and therefore cannot be used in aesthetic areas [10].

This case report explains the treatment of a Seibert class 1 alveolar ridge defect involving two teeth with satisfactory result. The advantage is a good colour match of the surrounding tissues involving a single surgical site; however, the disadvantage is the inability to treat larger defects because of the lack of donor tissue availability and postoperative discomfort due to healing by secondary intention.

## Conclusion

---

The replacement of missing teeth is only a part of the treatment. Another important aspect of therapy consists of replacing the lost portion of the alveolar process and the associated soft tissue. The reestablishment of a normal alveolar contour is a critical step in aesthetic success. The procedure described in this case report showed satisfactory results in an aesthetic region with a single surgical procedure that overcomes the limitations of the other soft tissue graft techniques along with better healing and stability post-operatively.

## References

---

1. Atwood DA. Reduction of residual ridges: A major oral disease entity. *J Prosthet Dent*, 1971; 26: 266–279.
2. Miller PD Jr. Ridge augmentation under existing fixed prosthesis. Simplified technique. *J Periodontol*, 1986; 57: 742–745.
3. Seibert J. S. Reconstruction of deformed, partially edentulous ridges, using full thickness onlay grafts. Part 1. Technique and wound healing. *Compend Cont Ed Gen Dent*, 1983; 4: 437.
4. Allen EP, Gainza CS, Farthing GG, Newbold DA. Improved technique for localized ridge augmentation. A report of 21 cases. *J Periodontol*, 1985; 56: 195–199.
5. Meltzer JA. Edentulous area tissue graft correction of an aesthetic defect. A case report. *J Periodontol*, 1979; 50(6): 320-322.
6. Langar B, Calagna L. The subepithelial connective tissue graft. *J Prosthet Dent*, 1980; 44(4): 363-367.
7. Abrams L. Augmentation of the deformed residual edentulous ridge for fixed prosthesis. *Compendium Contin Educ Dent*, 1980; 1(3): 205-213.
8. Scharf DR, Tarnow DP. Modified roll technique for localized alveolar ridge augmentation. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 1992; 12(5): 415-425.
9. Barone R, Clauser C, Prato GP. Localized soft tissue ridge augmentation at phase 2 implant surgery: A case report. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 1999; 19(2): 141-145.
10. Luiz Gustavo Nascimento de Melo, Jose Sergio Maia Neto, Wendel Teixeira, Frederico Ciporkin, Caio Marcio Figueiredo. Application of a Modified Roll Technique to Ridge Augmentation Before Implant Surgery: A Case Report. *Perio - Periodontal Practices Today*, 2006; 3: 49-56.
11. Studer S, Kadl P, Glauser R, Scharer P. Semi-quantitative short-term results of



- three different soft tissue augmentation procedures in multiple tooth defects. *Acta Med Dent Helv*, 1998; 3(4): 68-74.
12. Wennstrom J, Pini Prato GP. Mucogingival therapy - Periodontal plastic surgery. In: Lindhe J, Karring T, Lang NP (eds). *Clinical Periodontology and Implant Dentistry*, 4<sup>th</sup> edition. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Munksgaard, 2003.
  13. Mohamed JB, Alam N, Singh G, Chandrasekaran SC. Roll Flap Technique for Anterior Implant Esthetics. *Indian Journal of Multidisciplinary Dentistry*, 2012; 2(1): 393-395.
  14. Barakat K, Ali A, Meguid AA, Moniem MA. Modified roll flap a handy technique to augment the peri-implant soft tissue in the esthetic zone: A randomized controlled clinical trial. *Tanta dental journal*, 2013; 10(3): 123-128.
  15. Nemcovsky CE, Artzi Z. Split palatal flap I. A surgical approach for primary soft tissue healing in ridge augmentation procedures: Technique and clinical results. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent*, 1999; 19: 175–181.

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.