A comparative study between conventional system and the Bethesda system applied for reporting thyroid cytopathology

M. Mamatha¹, S. Chandra Sekhar², H. Sandhya Rani³, S. Sandhya Anil⁴, G. Vandana⁵

¹PG Student, ²Associate Professor, ³Professor and Head, ⁴Professor, ⁵Assistant Professor
Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal, Telangana State, India

*Corresponding author email: mogullamamatha87@gmail.com

How to cite this article: M. Mamatha, S. Chandra Sekhar, H. Sandhya Rani, S. Sandhya Anil, G. Vandana. A comparative study between conventional system and the Bethesda system applied for reporting thyroid cytopathology. IAIM, 2015; 2(3): 87-95.

Available online at www.iaimjournal.com

Received on: 22-02-2015
Accepted on: 04-03-2015

Abstract

Aim and objectives: To compare the conventional and the Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology (TBSRTC), to correlate the cases with histology wherever available and to determine the sensitivity, specificity and false positive rates of both the methods.

Material and methods: A Total of 240 patients who presented with thyroid gland swelling were subjected to thyroid fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) and the smears were made followed by H&E staining and reporting was done. The conventional system used at our centre includes description of microscopic findings of the case along with an impression at the end. The categorization according to the Bethesda system of reporting thyroid cytology were done using criteria published in the atlas and related literature. The cytological diagnosis was correlated with the histological diagnosis wherever it was available. The sensitivity, specificity, false positive rates were calculated considering cytology as screening test for differentiating between neoplastic and non-neoplastic lesions.

Results: When the results of the conventional system were compared with the Bethesda adapted method was found to be more superior. Sensitivity of Bethesda system was significantly high (100%) as compared to conventional system (77%). Specificity of Bethesda system was also significantly high (82.5%) as compared to conventional system (69%).

Conclusion: Our findings were consistent with others who used the Bethesda cytopathology reporting system.
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Introduction
Fine needle aspiration cytology (FNAC) has been widely accepted as diagnostic procedure of choice in the evaluation of patients presenting with non-toxic thyroid nodules [1, 2]. The technique is a safe, minimally invasive, easily performed with minimal patient discomfort, efficient, and an excellent cost-effective method of evaluating thyroid lesions [3, 4]. Its main purpose is to provide rational approach to management and to determine the correct surgical procedure when it is required. A standardized categorical system for FNAC reporting can make results easier to understand for clinicians and give clear indications for therapeutic action [5, 6].

Aim and objectives
- To compare the conventional and the Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology (TBSRTC).
- To correlate the cases with histology wherever available.
- To determine the sensitivity, specificity, and false positive rates of both the methods.

Material and methods
A prospective study was conducted on 240 smears of thyroid swellings which were sent for fine needle aspiration to the Department of Pathology from August 2013 to July 2014 and the Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology was followed in comparison to the old conventional reporting system. Histopathology was used as a gold standard to compare the sensitivity of both systems.

Conventional method
As per conventional method of reporting, the cases were diagnosed and placed under the following categories [5, 7].

- **Non diagnostic/ Unsatisfactory:** when smears were hemorrhagic or containing less than six groups of well-preserved follicular cells on each of at least two slides.
- **Colloid cyst:** When follicular cells, thin or thick colloid in the background and hemosiderin laden macrophages were seen in the smears. (*Photo – 1, Photo – 2*)
- **Colloid goitre:** When smears contained follicular cells with abundant thick colloid in the background. (*Photo – 3, Photo – 4*)
- **Follicular lesions/ Neoplasm:** When smears contained many follicular cells without or scanty colloid in the background or when smears contain predominant population of Hurthle cells, the differential diagnosis would include hyperplastic adenomatoid nodule with Hurthle cell change, Hurthle cell adenoma, and Hurthle cell carcinoma.
- **Indeterminate smears:** When smears containing cells with findings that were not clearly benign but were not diagnostic of a neoplasm or malignant lesions.
- **Suspicious for malignancy:** Suspicious when aspirates suggested a follicular neoplasm, i.e., hyper cellular sample with scant colloid and a significant proportion of microfollicules, trabeculae, or crowded overlapping...
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clusters of follicular cells (also includes lesions consisting of oncocyic (Hurthle cell) neoplasm).

- **Malignant lesions:**
  - Papillary Carcinoma
  - Medullary carcinoma
  - Anaplastic Carcinoma
  - Lymphoma
  - Metastatic

**TBSRTC**
The same cases were re-screened and reported as per the Bethesda system of reporting having the following six categories [8].

- **Non diagnostic/ Unsatisfactory:** Cyst fluid only virtually a cellular specimen other (obscuring blood, clotting artifact, etc). For a thyroid FNA specimen to be satisfactory for evaluation (and benign), at least six groups of benign follicular cells were required, each composed of at least 10 cells [9, 10].
- **Benign:** Consistent with a benign follicular nodule (includes adenomatoid nodule, colloid nodule, etc). *(Photo – 5, Photo – 6, Photo – 7)* Consistent with lymphocytic (Hashimoto) thyroiditis in the proper clinical context. *(Photo – 8, Photo – 9, Photo – 10)* Consistent with granulomatous (sub acute) thyroiditis and others.
- **Atypia of undetermined significance (AUS)/ Follicular lesion of undetermined significance:** An AUS result was obtained in 3% to 6% of thyroid FNAs [11, 12].
- **Follicular neoplasm/ Suspicious for a follicular neoplasm:** Specify if Hürthle cell (oncocytic) type.
- **Suspicious for malignancy:** Suspicious for papillary carcinoma, suspicious for medullar carcinoma, suspicious for metastatic carcinoma, suspicious for lymphoma.
- **Malignant:** Papillary thyroid carcinoma, Poorly differentiated carcinoma, Medullary thyroid carcinoma, Undifferentiated (anaplastic), Squamous cell carcinoma. Carcinoma with mixed features (specify). Metastatic carcinoma, Non-Hodgkin lymphoma, and others. *(Photo – 11, Photo – 12, Photo – 13, Photo – 14)*

Histopathological diagnosis of patients who had undergone surgery was used as the gold standard for correlation with the cytological interpretations.

**Results**

Distribution of cases as per conventional method of reporting was as per Table – 1 and Graph – 1. Distribution of cases as per Bethesda system of reporting was as per Table – 2 and Graph – 2.

**Statistical analysis**
The sensitivity, specificity and diagnostic accuracy were calculated considering thyroid FNA as a ‘screening test’. FNA specimens interpreted as benign were considered to be true negative samples and the remaining categories were considered to be true-positive samples because they led to a recommendation of surgery. The false-positive category included cases that were diagnosed as malignant but which were confirmed as benign on histopathological evaluation. The false-negative cases included those diagnosed as benign on FNA but confirmed as malignant on histopathology.

**Conventional method**
- **Sensitivity - 77%**
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- Specificity - 69%
- Positive predictive value - 37%
- Negative predictive value - 93%

**Bethesda system of reporting**
- Sensitivity - 100%
- Specificity - 82.5%
- Positive predictive value - 45%
- Negative predictive value - 100%

**Discussion**

Thyroid nodules are a common clinical problem and FNAC of the thyroid is the key preoperative investigation of thyroid lesions. Fortunately, the vast majority of nodules are benign, but when they are discovered, an assessment regarding the need to exclude malignancy using FNA must be performed [8]. It helps to determine whether surgical removal of a detected nodule is recommended or not. The data shows that, introduction of the new simplified Bethesda thyroid reporting system into six categories logically relates to the prognosis of thyroid diseases and may increase the reproducibility of diagnosis [13]. Each diagnostic category conveys specific risks of malignancy, which offers guidance for patient management [14]. The reporting is based upon number of stepwise descriptions.

The Bethesda system for reporting thyroid cytopathology is a standardized reporting system for classifying thyroid fine-needle aspiration results comprising of 6 diagnostic categories with unique risks of malignancy and recommendations for clinical management like
- Non diagnostic
- Benign
- Aspirates of atypia/follicular lesion of undetermined significance
- Follicular neoplasm/suspicion for a follicular neoplasm
- Suspiciously malignant aspirates
- Malignant aspirates [5, 6, 10, 15].

The vast array of diagnostic nomenclature currently in use can usually be made to fit into these systems and thus easily explained to clinicians. The sensitivity and negative predictive values of our study proved to be 100% with no false positive results and high (82.5%) specificity with low false negative values. When compared with similar studies we found that our findings were consistent with the study of Wong, et al. [15]. Other studies like Gharib, et al. [16] and Yassa L, et al. [11] which was conducted on 731 and 268 cases respectively also showed that by adopting the Bethesda system of reporting , a higher level of sensitivity (99.3% and 98.6%) can be achieved which were also supporting our study as per Table - 3.

**Conclusion**

Adapting the Bethesda system of reporting has lead to a high sensitivity and high negative predictive values. Data support FNAC as initial management. Inter observer variability is reduced by adopting Bethesda system of reporting. Use of Bethesda reporting system helps in the prognosis, management and minimizes the unnecessary surgical procedures for thyroid swellings [17]. The relative risk of malignancy is implicit in the proposed probabilistic classification.
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**Table – 1**: Distribution of cases as per conventional method of reporting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytopathology</th>
<th>Numbers</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-diagnostic /Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>Excluded</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloid goitre</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colloid cyst</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thyroiditis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>09</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lesions / Neoplasms</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indeterminate</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>08</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious for malignancy</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>04</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant lesions</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Graph – 1**: Representing distribution of cases - Conventional method of reporting.
Table – 2: Distribution of cases as per Bethesda system of reporting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cytopathology</th>
<th>Histopathology</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>Malignant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-diagnostic / Unsatisfactory</td>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>Nil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benign</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular neoplasm / Suspicious for follicular neoplasm</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atypia of undetermined significance or follicular lesion of undetermined significance</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspicious of malignancy</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Graph – 2: Representing distribution of cases – Bethesda system of reporting.

Table – 3: Comparative studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Various studies</th>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gharib, et al. [16]</td>
<td>731</td>
<td>99.3%</td>
<td>81.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yassa, et al. [11]</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present study</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>82.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Photo – 1:** Colloid cyst (4X).

**Photo – 2:** Colloid cyst (10X).

**Photo – 3:** Colloid goitre (4X).

**Photo – 4:** Colloid goiter (10X).

**Photo – 5:** Follicular adenoma of thyroid (Gross).

**Photo – 6:** Follicular adenoma of thyroid (4X).

**Photo – 7:** Follicular adenoma of thyroid (10X).

**Photo – 8:** Hashimoto thyroiditis (Gross).
Photo – 9: Hashimoto thyroiditis (4X).

Photo – 10: Hashimoto thyroiditis (10X).

Photo – 11: Papillary carcinoma of thyroid (Gross)

Photo – 12: Papillary carcinoma of thyroid (4X).

Photo – 13: Papillary carcinoma of thyroid (10X).

Photo – 14: Papillary carcinoma of thyroid (40X).