Review Article

Constructive Alignment in Forensic Learning: Enhancing Educational Outcomes

Pragnesh Parmar[1*], Gunvanti Rathod[2]

[1]Additional Professor and HOD, Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, AIIMS, Bibinagar, Telangana, India
[2]Additional Professor, Pathology and Lab Medicine, AIIMS, Bibinagar, Telangana, India
[*]Corresponding author email: **drprag@gmail.com**

International Archives of Integrated Medicine, Vol. 11, Issue 10, October, 2024.
Available online at http://iaimjournal.com/
ISSN: 2394-0026 (P) **ISSN: 2394-0034 (O)**
Received on: 1-10-2024 **Accepted on:** 17-10-2024
Source of support: Nil **Conflict of interest:** None declared.

DOI: **10.5281/zenodo.14015862**

How to cite this article: Pragnesh Parmar, Gunvanti Rathod. Constructive Alignment in Forensic Learning: Enhancing Educational Outcomes. Int. Arch. Integr. Med., 2024; 11(10): 1-5.

Abstract

Constructive alignment is an educational principle that aligns learning objectives, teaching activities, and assessment tasks to enhance student learning outcomes. In forensic learning, which integrates theoretical knowledge and practical skills, constructive alignment ensures that all components of the curriculum work cohesively to support student mastery of forensic medicine or forensic science. This article explores the concept of constructive alignment in forensic education, discussing its principles and providing examples to illustrate its application.

Key words

Learning, Outcomes, Teaching, Competency, CBME, Assessment, Curriculum.

Introduction

Constructive alignment, a concept introduced by John Biggs, is a framework for curriculum design that ensures coherence between learning outcomes, teaching methods, and assessment tasks [1]. This alignment is particularly crucial in forensic learning, where students must acquire and demonstrate both theoretical knowledge and practical skills. This article examines the principles of constructive alignment and its relevance to forensic education, highlighting practical examples of its implementation.

Principles of Constructive Alignment

Learning Outcomes

Learning outcomes define what students are expected to know, understand, and be able to do by the end of a course or program [2]. It is also important component of competency based medical education [3].

Example: In a forensic science course, a learning outcome might be: "Students will be able to apply DNA analysis techniques to solve forensic cases."

Teaching Activities

Teaching activities are designed to help students achieve the specified learning outcomes with involvement of various innovative methods for small group teaching [4-6].

Example: To achieve the learning outcome related to DNA analysis, teaching activities might include lectures on genetic principles, laboratory exercises on DNA extraction and amplification, and case studies on real-world applications of DNA analysis in forensic science.

Assessment Tasks

Assessment tasks evaluate whether students have achieved the learning outcomes [7]. Newer assessment methods like open book test [8], objectively structured practical examination [9], google sites [10], e learning [11] should be adopted.

Example: An assessment task for the DNA analysis outcome might be a practical exam where students must perform a DNA extraction and amplification, followed by a written report interpreting the results in the context of a forensic investigation.

Constructive Alignment in Forensic Learning

Curriculum Design

Constructive alignment begins with defining clear, measurable learning outcomes that align with professional standards in forensic science [12].

Example: A forensic anthropology course might have outcomes such as: "Students will identify human skeletal remains" and "Students will determine the biological profile of skeletal remains, including age, sex, ancestry, and stature."

Teaching Methods

Teaching methods should be chosen to effectively support the learning outcomes.

Example: In the forensic anthropology course, teaching methods could include interactive lectures on osteology, hands-on lab sessions for skeletal identification, and field trips to archaeological sites for practical experience.

Aligned Assessment

Assessment tasks should directly measure the achievement of learning outcomes.

Example: To assess the ability to identify human skeletal remains, students might be given a practical exam where they must correctly identify bones from a mixed collection. To assess the determination of a biological profile, students could be required to analyze skeletal remains and write a detailed report on their findings.

Benefits of Constructive Alignment in Forensic Learning

Enhanced Learning and Engagement

Constructive alignment ensures that all aspects of the educational process are focused on helping students achieve the desired learning outcomes, leading to deeper understanding and greater engagement.

Example: By aligning teaching activities and assessments with learning outcomes, students in a forensic toxicology course can clearly see how their lab exercises and exams are directly relevant to their future work in analyzing toxic substances in forensic cases [13, 14].

Improved Assessment Validity

Assessments are more valid and reliable when they are directly aligned with learning outcomes.

Example: In a forensic entomology course, an assessment task that requires students to apply insect evidence to estimate time of death is a valid measure of their understanding and ability to apply course concepts.

Consistency and Transparency

Constructive alignment promotes consistency and transparency in curriculum design, making it clear to students what is expected of them and how they will be evaluated.

Example: A forensic digital evidence course clearly outlines that students will learn to recover and analyze digital evidence, and assessments are designed to measure these skills through practical exams and case report submissions.

Case Studies in Constructive Alignment

Forensic Chemistry Program

A forensic chemistry program at a university employs constructive alignment by defining learning outcomes such as mastering chemical analysis techniques and applying them in forensic contexts. Teaching activities include lectures, lab exercises, and simulations. Assessments involve lab reports, practical exams, and case study analyses. Other forensic teaching topics like autopsy [15, 16], domestic violence [17], ethical issues [18, 19], privacy and confidentiality [20], preservation of records [21], consumer protection [22], voice fingerprinting [23], forensic onychology [24], consent [25], euthanasia [26], negligence [27], torture [28], organ donation [29], crime reconstruction [30] can be delivered by such constructive alignment.

Example: Students are tasked with analyzing unknown substances using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) and presenting their findings in a comprehensive report, aligning with the learning outcome of mastering chemical analysis techniques.

Forensic Psychology Course

A forensic psychology course aligns learning outcomes with practical skills in assessing psychological profiles and understanding criminal behavior. Teaching methods include role-playing, case studies, and interactive seminars. Assessments consist of written assignments, presentations, and practical exams.

Example: Students conduct a mock psychological evaluation of a suspect and present their findings in a written report, demonstrating their ability to apply psychological theories in forensic contexts.

Conclusion

Constructive alignment is a powerful framework for enhancing the effectiveness of forensic learning. By aligning learning outcomes, teaching activities, and assessment tasks, forensic education programs can ensure that students acquire the necessary knowledge and skills to succeed in their field. This approach not only improves student learning and engagement but also ensures that assessments are valid and reliable measures of student competency.

References

1. Biggs J, Tang C. Teaching for Quality Learning at University. 4th edition, Maidenhead: Open University Press; 2011.
2. Anderson LW, Krathwohl DR, editors. A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. New York: Longman; 2001.
3. Parmar P. Study of students' perceptions on evidence based curriculum of Forensic Medicine. J Indian Acad Forensic Med., 2017; 39(1): 11-15.
4. Fry H, Ketteridge S, Marshall S, editors. A Handbook for Teaching and Learning in Higher Education: Enhancing Academic Practice. 4th edition, New York: Routledge; 2014.
5. Pragnesh Parmar, Gunvanti B. Rathod. Study of innovative teaching methods to enhance teaching and learning in Forensic Medicine. IAIM, 2015; 2(8): 78-80.
6. Parmar P. Study of students' perceptions towards case based learning in Forensic Medicine. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2018; 12(1): 154-157.
7. Race P. The Lecturer's Toolkit: A Practical Guide to Learning, Teaching,

and Assessment. 4th edition, New York: Routledge; 2014.
8. Parmar P. Study of students' perceptions regarding open book test in Forensic Medicine. J Indian Acad Forensic Med, 2017; 39(4): 404-406.
9. Pragnesh Parmar. Students' perceptions regarding Objective Structured Practical Examination (OSPE) in Forensic Medicine. J Punjab Acad Forensic Med Toxicol., 2018; 18(2): 27-29.
10. Pragnesh Parmar, Swapnil Patond, Gunvanti Rathod, Sudhir Ninave. Google site as a tool for teaching undergraduate students in Forensic Medicine. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2020; 14(4): 479-483.
11. Rathod G, Parmar P. E learning in medical education during COVID era. D Y Patil J Health Sci, 2021; 9: 39-40.
12. Laurillard D. Rethinking University Teaching: A Conversational Framework for the Effective Use of Learning Technologies. 2nd ed. London: Routledge; 2002.
13. Pragnesh Parmar, Gunvanti B. Rathod, Sangita Rathod, Ashish Parikh. Drug abuse and illicit drug trafficking vis-à-vis human life – A review. Prensa Med Argent, 2015; 101:2.
14. Lad KS, Patel VC, Parmar P. Profile study of organophosphorus study at Valsad: 2 year study. J India Acad Forensic Med, 2017; 39(3): 235-238.
15. Parmar PB, Rathod GB, Bansal P, Yadukul S, Bansal AK. Utility of inquest and medico-legal autopsy in community deaths at tertiary care hospital of India. J Family Med Prim Care, 2022; 11(5): 2090-3.
16. Parmar PB, Rathod GB, Bansal P, Maru AM, Pandya B, Bansal AK. Pattern of suspicious deaths of married females brought for medico-legal autopsy at teaching institute of India. J Family Med Prim Care, 2023; 12: 2110-3.
17. Bhoot RR, Parmar PB. Dowry and domestic violence against women – Knowledge and awareness among medical students. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2018; 12(3): 79-81.
18. Anupam Kumar Bansal, Pragnesh Parmar, Gunvanti Rathod. Ethical principles in hospital settings – Perceptions of intern doctors of tertiary care hospital. Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2020; 37(2): 77-79.
19. Bansal AK, Parmar P, Bansal P, Patel R, Barai PH, Thomas E. Ethical climate and its effect in teaching hospital: A vision from 3rd eye. J Indian Acad Forensic Med, 2019; 41(1): 45-49.
20. Pragnesh Parmar, Swapnil Patond, Gunvanti Rathod, Sudhir Ninave. Awareness among intern doctors regarding privacy and confidentiality in medical practice. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2020; 14(3): 539-544.
21. Pragnesh Parmar, Swapnil Patond, Gunvanti Rathod, Sudhir Ninave. Awareness among intern doctors about medical records and duty of doctors in tertiary care hospital, Valsad. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology, 2020; 14(3): 545-548.
22. Parmar P. Awareness regarding Consumer Protection Act among medical students. J Indian Acad Forensic Med, 2018; 40(4): 404-406.
23. Pragnesh Parmar, Udhayabanu R. Voice Fingerprinting – A very important tool against crime. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine, 2012; 34(1): 70 – 73.
24. Pragnesh Parmar, Gunvanti Rathod. Forensic Onychology: An essential entity against crime. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine, 2012; 34(4): 355-357.
25. Parmar P, Rathod GB, Rathod S, Parikh A. Consent in medical practice –

Perceptions of patients towards legal aspects of informed consent. IAIM, 2016; 3(4): 105-110.
26. Parmar P, Rathod S, Parikh A. Perceptions of patients' towards euthanasia – A medico-legal perspective. IAIM, 2016; 3(5): 20-23.
27. Parmar P, Rathod GB. Knowledge and awareness among general population towards medical negligence. IAIM, 2016; 3(7): 250-254.
28. Parmar P, Rathod G. Study of students' perceptions regarding knowledge and attitude towards torture. IAIM, 2017; 4(1): 68-71.
29. Parmar PB, Bharpoda K, Bhensdadia V, Bhokan P, Bhut P, Chaudhary B. Study of undergraduate students' perceptions towards organ donation. J Indian Acad Forensic Med., 2016; 38(4): 437-440.
30. Parmar P. Reconstruction of crime – A review. IAIM, 2015; 2(10): 49-53.