Original Research Article

Anesthetic management of Pediatric cochlear implantation in a tertiary care centre

Arunkrishanan R¹, Nalini V^{2*}, Naheed Azhar³

¹Junior Resident, ²Associate Professor, ³Professor and HOD

Department of Anesthesiology, Govt. Stanley Medical College, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India ^{*}Corresponding author email: **naliniv1961@gmail.com**

-	Medicine, Vol. 6, Issue 5, May, 2019. M, All Rights Reserved.	
Available online at <u>http://iaimjournal.com/</u>		
ISSN: 2394-0026 (P)	ISSN: 2394-0034 (O)	
Received on: 21-04-2019	Accepted on: 25-04-2019	
Source of support: Nil	Conflict of interest: None declared.	
How to cite this article: Arunkrishanan R, Nalini V, Naheed Azhar. Anesthetic management of		
	Copy right © 2019, IAI Available online at <u>ht</u> ISSN: 2394-0026 (P) Received on: 21-04-2019 Source of support: Nil	

Pediatric cochlear implantation in a tertiary care centre. IAIM, 2019; 6(5): 92-97.

Abstract

Background: Cochlear implantation has been evolved as the management of choice in patients with bilateral severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss in both children and the elderly population. Children who undergo cochlear implantation early in life, followed by appropriate rehabilitation have improved communication and learning skills.

Aim of the study: In this study, we review the anesthetic management of seven cases of pediatric cochlear implantation done in our institution in the last one year.

Materials and methods: Randomized control study was done at Government Stanley Medical College Hospital, Chennai, Tamil Nadu (January 2018). Intraoperative facial nerve integrity testing was not done for any of our cases. The nasopharyngeal temperature was monitored. To prevent hypothermia, forced air warmer was used. In our institution, stimulation techniques to identify facial nerve were not used for any of the cases. The intraoperative period was uneventful in all cases. Hemodynamics was maintained in all the cases in order to provide a bloodless surgical field.

Results: No patients had emergence agitation. Post-operative analgesia was achieved with paracetamol rectal suppositories. No children had postoperative shivering. All children were followed up after discharge for stimulation of electrodes and speech therapy. Presence of mental retardation should be assessed as it may be associated with a retrocochlear hearing loss. Counseling was an important part of the pre-operative period to improve the outcome.

Conclusion: Cochlear implantation is a specialized surgery and anesthesiologist's awareness of the pitfalls and resourcefulness in dealing with communication impaired, pediatric age group makes the task challenging. The procedure itself has no significant anesthetic complications provided a thorough preoperative evaluation and good conduct of anesthesia is done.

Key words

Cochlear implantation, Pediatric, Anesthesia, Sensorineural hearing loss.

Introduction

A cochlear implantation is an expensive computerized electronic device that transforms various forms of sound energy into electrical signals which are used to stimulate the intact auditory nerve of the inner ear [1]. Cochlear implants are used to restore hearing in patients with bilateral severe sensorineural hearing loss and deaf-mutism in order to improve communication abilities [2]. The operative technique is complex, time-consuming and involves placement of the internal compressor assembly within the mastoid antrum and connecting the electrodes to the cochlear neurons [3]. The functional integrity of the facial nerve and the cochlear nerve is to be preserved during the procedure [4]. This surgery requires a team approach, with the crucial role of anesthesiologists in providing a bloodless surgical field use of nerve stimulators to assess facial nerve integrity and to treat postoperative complications. Co-existing conditions like congenital anomalies, genetic syndromes, airway abnormalities, and respiratory tract infections have a significant impact on anesthetic management [5]. With the pediatric age group being the most common candidates for cochlear implantation, difficulty in obtaining intravenous access, differences in airway anatomy, congenital anomalies, postoperative nausea and vomiting and high incidence of respiratory complications make the pediatric cochlear implantation more challenging [6].

Materials and methods

Randomized control study was done at Government Stanley Medical College Hospital, Tamil Nadu (January Chennai. 2018). Intraoperative facial nerve integrity testing was done for any of our cases. The not nasopharyngeal temperature was monitored. To prevent hypothermia, forced air warmer was used. In our institution, stimulation techniques to identify facial nerve were not used for any of the cases. The intraoperative period was uneventful in all cases. Hemodynamics was maintained in all the cases in order to provide a bloodless surgical field. Seven children with severe to profound sensorineural hearing loss and deafmutism were evaluated with auditory evoked responses, electrocochleography, and radiographic imaging and selected for cochlear implantation. Preoperatively the patients were screened for the presence of genetic syndromes, congenital anomalies including cardiac defects and airway abnormalities, QT prolongation, anemia. A good rapport was established with the children preoperatively to allay anxiety and all the parents were counseled about the anesthetic procedure. Patient demographics were listed in
 Table - 1. General anesthesia with endotracheal
intubation and controlled ventilation with Jackson Rees circuit was the anesthetic plan in all the cases. An intravenous induction technique and maintenance with volatile anesthetic agents was done for all the cases. The anesthetic procedure done was as follows. After obtaining informed written consent from the parents, the child was shifted to OT and intravenous access was done with a 22 gauge intravenous cannula. 1% dextrose in Ringer lactate infusion was started. NIBP, ECG, pulse oximeter was connected. Premedication was done with Inj. Glycopyrrolate 4 mcg/kg IV, Inj. Midazolam 0.05 mg/kg IV and Inj. Fentanyl 2 mcg/kg IV. Preoxygenation was done with 8 liters of 100 % oxygen for 3 minutes. Anesthesia was induced with Inj. Thiopentone 5 mg/kg IV and intubation were facilitated with the bolus dose of Inj. Atracurium at 0.5 mg/kg IV. Endotracheal intubation was done with Macintosh Laryngoscope and placement was confirmed with capnography. Anesthesia was maintained with Oxygen, Nitrous oxide, Sevoflurane and intermittent maintenance doses of atracurium at 0.01 mg/kg. Inj. Dexamethasone was given in the dose of 0.15 mg/kg. Intra-operative facial nerve integrity testing was not done for any of our

cases. The nasopharyngeal temperature was monitored. To prevent hypothermia, forced air warmer was used. In our institution, stimulation techniques to identify facial nerve were not used for any of the cases. The intraoperative period was uneventful in all cases. Hemodynamics was maintained in all the cases in order to provide a bloodless surgical field. At the end of the procedure, reversal was done with Inj. Neostigmine 0.05 mg/kg IV and Inj. Glycopyrrolate 10 mcg/kg. Inj. Ondansetron 0.1 mg/kg was given at the end of the procedure to prevent postoperative nausea and vomiting. No patients had emergence agitation. Postoperative analgesia was achieved with paracetamol rectal suppositories. No children had postoperative shivering. All children were followed up after discharge for stimulation of electrodes and speech therapy [8, 9].

Results

Table - 1 shows that there was no significant blood loss from the procedure itself but sometimes bleeding can occur from large noncollapsible mastoid emissary veins [12]. It is essential to provide a bloodless surgical field, especially during implantations by providing a good plane of anesthesia and adequate analgesia. Fluid management was usually done with crystalloid infusions. Extubation should be done in the lateral position and smooth recovery is ensured in order to prevent agitation. The incidence of PONV was as high as 40 - 50 % in middle ear surgeries. Inj. Ondansetron at a dose of 0.1 mg/kg was used for prevention and treatment of PONV. Other measures to prevent PONV include adequate anxiolysis preoperatively, avoidance of nitrous oxide and a total intravenous anesthesia technique with propofol infusion [13]. Use of dexamethasone 0.15 mg/kg at the beginning of surgery also prevents PONV [14].

Table - 2 shows that these children should also be evaluated for the presence of congenital cataract which should be corrected before surgery to facilitate rehabilitation. Presence of mental retardation should be assessed as it may be associated with a retrocochlear hearing loss. Counselling was an important part of the preoperative period to improve outcome. It was essential to establish a good rapport with the children and the parents in the postoperative visit to allay anxiety. Objective assessment of hearing should be done with evoked potentials and electrocochleography, radiological assessment to evaluate the integrity of temporal bone and to rule out infections should be done preoperatively.

Discussion

Cochlear implantation for both children and adults has become established as a means of auditory rehabilitation. The cochlear implant (CI) is a surgically implanted neurostimulator which is suitable for patients who have a bilateral severe/profound sensorineural hearing loss who gain limited benefit from optimal acoustic hearing aids [10]. In most cases, this deafness is a result of damage to the sensory structures within the cochlea. A cochlear implant will bypass these damaged structures and electrically stimulate the auditory nerve directly, thereby restoring a perception of sound to the patient [11]. Due to advancement in screening and diagnosing hearing problems, more number of young children often comes for early surgery for cochlear implantation. There is evidence suggesting that early bilateral cochlear implant surgery in children less than 12 months of age results in better auditory rehabilitation. A multidisciplinary approach involving pediatric anesthesiologist is essential for a positive outcome as studies in cochlear implant surgeries in infants have shown the age of the patient and experience and the skills of the anesthesiologist to be important risk factors [12]. Due to deterioration in the quality of life brought about by hearing impairment, many of the older population is now resorting towards more sophisticated cochlear implant devices. This is also due to noncompliance with the various hearing aids available [13]. But the cochlear implant surgery is less commonly performed in elderly patients due to the presence of coexisting

systemic diseases which increase the anesthetic complications postoperatively [14]. Recent evidence, however, suggests that the incidence of such complications in elderly patients is minimal and can easily be managed. Cochlear implantation with perianal electrode technique and transcanal cochlear implantation can be performed under local anesthesia with monitored anesthesia care in high-risk elderly patients [15]. However, special precautions have to be exercised in a few selected patients who may either be taking alternative medicines or may have potential contagious diseases like hepatitis acquired immunodeficiency syndrome or (AIDS). Although children implanted in the younger group (<12 months) and the older group (12-36 months) had similar preoperative hearing thresholds, the cause of hearing loss was variable and often unknown. It is likely that some children had hearing at birth and, therefore, more access to sound before CI. An earlier residual hearing would more likely advantage those in the

older group [16]. However, the children implanted below 12 months developed auditory skills more rapidly and were more likely to develop oral-only communication. Comparisons using the level of speech perception ability were not possible in this study due to the young ages and range of developmental status which required clinical use of different test measures and procedures [17]. This is a significant limitation of the study as achieving a low but greater than zero level on a test can represent a considerable difference in ability from achieving a score near the high end of a test. In addition, only information regarding communication mode(s) rather than comprehensive information about receptive and expressive language, at last, follow up was available [18]. We also note that there may be unknown differences such as parenting and socioeconomic status between children who receive an implant under age 12 months and those who do not, that might influence performance outcome [19, 20].

Table – 1: Patient demographic	s details with hemodynamic	changes and posto	perative complications.
	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		

	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7
Age(years)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Sex	Male	Male	Male	Male	Female	Female	Male
Weight (Kg)	12	14	13	12	14	11	12
R/L							
Duration of the	2 hrs 30	2 hrs 15	2 hrs 30	2 hrs	2 hr 30	2 hr 20	2 hrs 15
procedure (hours)	min	min	min		min	min	min
Cardiac	Nil						
anomalies/QT							
prolongation							
Intraoperative	79-95	83-101	81-112	85-97	83-117	74-93	80-107
hemodynamics	mmHg						
(Mean Arterial	&	&	&	&	&	&	&
Pressure /	94-128	100-123	103-133	95-120	105-135	96-128	102-125
Heart Rate)	/min						
Emergence	Nil						
Delirium							
PONV	Nil						
Postoperative	Nil						
Shivering							

Syndromes	Anesthetic implications		
Treacher Collins syndrome	Facial dysplasia can lead to difficulty in airway management		
Klippel Fiel anomaly	The fusion of cervical vertebrae leads to restricted neck movements and difficult intubation		
Pendred syndrome	Metabolic derangements involving goiter and hypothyroidism		
Alport syndrome	Renal and endocrine abnormalities		
Jervell and Lange Neilsen syndrome	Prolonged QT interval, risk of ventricular arrhythmia, and history of syncopal attacks, hypoglycemic episodes		

Table - 2: Congenital syndromes associated with deaf-mutism and its anaesthetic implications.

Conclusion

Cochlear implantation is a specialized surgery and anaesthesiologist's awareness of the pitfalls and resourcefulness in dealing with communication impaired, pediatric age group makes the task challenging. The procedure itself has no significant anesthetic complications provided a thorough preoperative evaluation and good conduct of anesthesia is done. Close communication between the surgeon and the anaesthesiologists throughout the perioperative period is essential for a positive outcome in these children.

References

- Bajwa SJ, Bajwa SK, Singh A, Khan B, Parmar SS, Singh G, et al. Anesthetic challenges and difficulties in the management of Treacher Collins syndrome. Anesth Essays Res., 2011; 5: 111-3.
- Chakrabarty A, Tarneja VK, Singh Adm VK, Roy PK, Bhargava AK, Srivastava DK. Cochlear Implant: Anaesthesia Challenges, Armed Forces Med J India, 2004; 60: 351-356.
- 3. Connor CM, Craig HK, Raudenbush SW, Heavner K, Zwolan TA. The age at which young deaf children receive cochlear implants and their vocabulary and speech-production growth: Is there an added value for early implantation? Ear Hear, 2006; 27: 628-44.

- 4. Crawford MW, White MC, Propst EJ, Zaarour C, Cushing S, PehoraC, et al. Dose-dependent suppression of the electrically elicited stapedius reflex by general anesthetics in children undergoing cochlear implant surgery, Anesth Analg., 2009; 108: 1480-7.
- 5. Dalim Kumar Baidya, Maya Tehran. Anaesthesia for cochlear implant surgery. Trends in Anaesthesia and critical care, April 2011; 1(2): 90-94.
- Dettman SJ, Dowell RC, Choo D, et al. Long-term communication outcomes for children receiving cochlear implants younger than 12 months: a multicenter study. Otol Neurotol., 2016; 37: e82– e95.
- Fagan MK, Pisoni DB. Hearing experience and receptive vocabulary development in deaf children with cochlear implants. J Deaf Stud Deaf Educ., 2010; 15: 149–161.
- Glaser B. Pendred syndrome. Pediatr Endocrinol Rev., 2003; 1 (Suppl. 2): 199-204.
- Goel L, Bennur SK, Jambhale S. Treacher-collin syndrome-a challenge for anesthesiologists. Indian J Anaesth., 2009; 53: 496-500.
- 10. Kaur Madhan, Suchita Kosare. Anaesthesia for middle ear surgeries and cochlear implantation. Otorhinolaryngology clinics: An International Journal, January – April 2015; 7(1): 1-9.

- 11. Nicholas JG, Geers AE. Will they catch up? The role of age at cochlear implantation in the spoken language development of children with severe to profound hearing loss. J Speech Lang Hear Res., 2007; 50: 1048–1062.
- 12. Niparko JK, Tobey EA, Than DJ, et al. Spoken language development in children following cochlear implantation. JAMA, 2010; 303: 1498– 1506.
- Pedersen CB, Jochumsen U, Madsen S, Koefoed Nielsen B, Johansen LV. Results and experiences with 55 cochlear implantations, Ugeskr Laeger, 2000; 162: 5346- 50.
- 14. Percival VG, Riddell J, Corcoran TB. Single dose dexamethasone for postoperative nausea and vomiting a matched case-control study of postoperative infection risk. Anaesth Intensive Care, 2010; 38: 661-6.
- Richter B, Eisele S, Laszig R, et al. Receptive and expressive language skills of 106 children with a minimum of 2 years' experience in hearing with a cochlear implant. Int J Pediatr Otorhinolaryngol., 2002; 64: 111–125.

- 16. So F, Liu H, Kuhl PK. Speech perception in infancy predicts language development in the second year of life: a longitudinal study. Child Dev., 2004; 75: 1067–1084.
- Stallmer ML, Vanaharam V, Mashour GA. Congenital cervical spine fusion and airway management: A case series of Klippel-fuel syndrome, J Clin Anesth., 2008; 20: 447-51.
- Sukhminder Jit Singh Bajwa, Ashish Kulshrestha. The cochlear implantation surgery: A review of anesthetic considerations and implications. International Journal of Health & Allied Sciences, Oct-Dec 2013; 2(4): 225-229.
- Tobey EA, Thal D, Niparko JK, et al. Influence of implantation age on schoolage language performance in pediatric cochlear implant users. Int J Audiol., 2013; 52: 219–229.
- Watanabe K, Hagiya K, Inomata S, Miyabe M, Tanaka M, Mizutani T. Bilateralvocal cord paralysis in a patient with chronic renal failure associated with Alport syndrome. J Anesth., 2010; 24: 472-5.